

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,941	08/31/2001	Thomas Joseph Prorock	RPS920010141US1	2053
45503 7	590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
SUITE 2110	TAL OF TEXAS HWY.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	78759		3622	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/943,941	PROROCK, THOMA	AS JOSEPH
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance be application. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		•
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 9-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		JUI.W	1
		Jafface D. Oardana	

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the final rejection is believed to have been proper. The rejection specifies that an immediate/current purchase qualifies the user for an award if the new point total is sufficiently high. The calculation of updated point balance is taken to be "based on said located incentive award information" (i.e. the user's past balance). Applicant argues that the instant invention's award qualification is not based upon an accumulation of purchases/points and that such accumulation is not required by the instant invention. These arguments are narrower than the presented claim scope. The proposed combination reads on the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's amendment clarifies what is taken to be clerical omissions. If appealed, the amendment would be entered, however the same grounds of rejection would be applied.